Pupil premium strategy statement (primary)

1. Summary information							
School	Westhous	esthouses Primary School					
Academic Year	2016/17	Total PP budget	£18,820	Date of most recent PP Review	April 17		
Total number of pupils	87	Number of pupils eligible for PP	16	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Sept 17		

2. Current attainment				
	Westhouses Primary Pupils eligible for PP 1 pupil	-	eligible for PP nal average)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)
% achieving expected or above in reading, writing & maths	0%		60%	60%
% of Y6 at or above expected standard in reading	0%		71%	71%
% of Y6 at or above expected standard in writing	0%		79%	79%
% of Y6 at or above expected standard in maths	0%	75%		75%
% of Y6 at or above expected standard in SPAG	0%		78%	78%
	Westhouses Primary Sch pupils - Average point pr		Expected average point progres	
Average points progress in reading	3.2			3
Average points progress in writing	3.1		3	
Average points progress in maths	2.7		3	
Average points progress in SPAG	3			3
2. Describes to future officiament (for numila climible for DD)			l .	

3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)

In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)

A. Mastery of Maths across school for PP children, improving maths outcomes for end of KS2.

B.	Improved attainment and progress across school for PP children, improving SPAG outcomes for end of KS2.					
C.	Improved attainment and progress in writing across school for PP children, improving writing outcomes for end of KS2.					
Ex	External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)					
D.	Social and emotional age appropriate development for pupils across the school (mostly eligible for PP)					

4. I	Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)					Success criteria
A.	Improved teaching Maths	g of maths for maste	The gap between PP and Non-PP pupils is reduced.			
	Year	Number of pupils	Progress made by PP pupils	Progress made by Non-PP pupils		
	3	2	2.8	3.4		
	4	5	3.1	3		
	5	2	3	2.9		
	6	1	3	2.9		

Improved teachi	ng of SPAG for all pup	The gap between PP and Non-F pupils is reduced.		
Spelling, Punct	uation and Gramma	•		p ap no no no account
Year	Number of pupils	Progress made by PP pupils	Progress made by Non-PP pupils	
3	2	3.3	3.4	
4	5	3.4	3.7	
5	2	3.5	3.2	
6 Improved attainr	1 nent and progress in v	3 vriting across school	3.1 ol for PP children, imp	roving writing The gap between PP and Non-F
	ment and progress in v			roving writing The gap between PP and Non-F pupils is reduced.
Improved attainr outcomes for en	ment and progress in v	vriting across school	ol for PP children, imp	
Improved attainr	ment and progress in v		ol for PP children, imp	
Improved attainr outcomes for en	ment and progress in v	vriting across school	ol for PP children, imp	
Improved attainr outcomes for en	ment and progress in vid of KS2. Number of pupils	vriting across school Progress made by PP pupils	Progress made by Non-PP pupils	
Improved attainr outcomes for en Year	ment and progress in vid of KS2. Number of pupils	Progress made by PP pupils 2.5	Progress made by Non-PP pupils 3.1	

D.	Soc	cial and emotional ago	Aim for all PP pupils on Positive play to make 15 points progress on their Boxhall profile.			
			Point score on Boxhall Diagnostic profile when beginning Positive Play	Point score on Boxhall Diagnostic profile when finishing Positive Play	Points progress	
		Whole school average	28.2	7.2	21	

5. Planned expenditure						
Academic year	2016/17					
The three headings targeted support an i. Quality of teac	d support whole sch	ols to demonstrate how they are ool strategies	using the Pupil Premium to imp	rove classroor	n pedagogy, provide	
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?	

A. Improved progress for pupils in maths.	Staff training / feedback to pupils/ action planning / learning walks/ observations High quality teaching for PP children.	We want to ensure that PP pupils can achieve high attainment as well as simply 'meeting expected standards'.	Use INSET / Staff meetings to deliver/disceminate training/ observations/analyse impact of interventions. Inspire purchased to ensure mastery is a focus for all chn.	Head SLT	April 2017 Review Average 3.1 points progress (expected = 3 points progress) 71% of PP pupils are at expected (12 out of 17) 17.5% of PP pupils are exceeding (3 out of 17)
B. Improved progress for pupils in SPAG.	Staff training / feedback to pupils/ action planning / learning walks/ observations High quality teaching for PP children. Grammar warm-up sessions to be used in all classes.	We want to ensure that PP pupils can achieve high attainment as well as simply 'meeting expected standards'.	Use INSET / Staff meetings to deliver/disceminate training/ observations/analyse impact of interventions.	Head SLT	April 2017 Review Average 3.4 points progress (expected = 3 points progress) 65% of PP pupils are at expected (11 out of 17) 23.5% of PP pupils are exceeding (4 out of 17)
C. Improved progress for pupils in writing.	Staff training / feedback to pupils	We want to ensure that PP pupils can ensure high attainment in writing and make better than expected progress.	In class support during English lessons 1 hour HLTA and 1 hour TA per day to support in class learning of PP pupils. Quality first teaching.	Head SLT	April 2017 Review Average 3.3 points progress (expected = 3 points progress) 71% of PP pupils are at expected (12 out of 17) 23.5% of PP pupils are exceeding (4 out of 17)
	£9440				

ii. Targeted support							
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?		
A. Improved maths mastery skills.	Interventions delivered with a focus on maths mastery.	We want to provide extra support to achieve high attainment for pupils. Small group interventions with highly qualified staff have been shown to be effective.	Teaching assistant (TA) CPD for TAs supporting the sessions. Organise timetable to ensure staff delivering provision have sufficient preparation and delivery time. Engage with parents and pupils before intervention begins to address any concerns or questions about the additional sessions. SATs booster group to be ran by Year 6 teacher. • SAT's booster sessions • Maths intervention • G & T club	Head Class teachers SLT	Review PP Pupils received Maths Interventions in Autumn and Spring terms and made 2.4 points progress where 2 points is expected.		

B. Improved SPAG skills.	Interventions delivered with a focus on SPAG for greater depth.	Some of the students need targeted support to catch up. This is a programme which has been independently evaluated and shown to be effective in other schools. HLTA and TA to deliver Acceleread/Accelewrite to PP pupils in need of a boost.	SAT's booster sessions KS2 writing group G&T club AcceleWrite intervention I hour HLTA and 1 hour TA per day to support in class learning of PP pupils. Teaching assistant (TA) CPD for TAs supporting the sessions. Organise timetable to ensure staff delivering provision have sufficient preparation and delivery time. Engage with parents and pupils before intervention begins to address any concerns or questions about the additional sessions. Scheduled sessions for PP pupils to receive Acceleread/Accelewrite.	Head	Review Average 3.7 points progress (expected = 3 points progress) Average progress for PP on Accelewrite intervention = 3.5 points progress See progress review – page 5
C. Improved progress for pupils in writing for Gifted and Talented pupils.	G&T PP pupils to form a writing group. They will write a school newspaper and sell this around the school. Staff training / feedback to pupils	We want to ensure that G&T PP pupils make better than expected progress.	School Newspaper 1:3 writing session with a HLTA	Head SLT	April 2017 Review Average 3.7 points progress (expected = 3 points progress)
	1	I	Total bu	dgeted cost	£7215
iii. Other approach	hes				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?

D. Social and emotional age appropriate	Nurture provision to be provided for a key number of identified	Positive Play suggests that targeted interventions matched to specific	Ensure identification of target pupils is fair, transparent and properly recorded.	Head	July 2017
development for pupils to be addressed.		students with particular needs or social	recorded.	Positive Play	San final ravious on page 11
be addressed.	pupils.	and emotional issues can be effective,	Boxhall diagnostic profile used to	Lead	See final review on page 11
	Nurturo group toom to	especially for older pupils.		SENCo	
	Nurture group team to		score pupils social and emotional	SENCO	
	engage with parents before intervention		progress.		
			Monitor incidences but also monitor		
	begins.				
	Develop restorative		whether improvements translate into improved attainment.		
	approaches and focus		improved attairment.		
	on positive behaviours.				
	on positive benaviours.				
	Outside agencies				
	support and advice –				
	EP/BSS/AO/SSPI/SSHI				
	El /Boo/No/ool Wooli				
	School funds milk for	Pupils wil be ready to learn if they are			
	PP pupils	hydrated.			
	11 papilo				
	1	1	Total bu	dgeted cost	£3,380
			i otai bu	ageica cost	20,000

6. Review of expenditure							
Previous Academi	c Year						
i. Quality of teac	i. Quality of teaching for all						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost			

	/ approach	success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	(and whether you will continue with this approach)	
Desired outcome	Chosen action	Estimated impact: Did you meet the	Lessons learned	Cost
ii. Targeted supp	ort			
		There was only 1 PP pupil in Year 6 cohort and they did not meet age related expectations at the end of KS2. This was due to additional SEN needs.		
	HLTA/TA support in class.	of progess is due to pupils having other additional needs.	In class support was beneficial for pupil progress and planned differentiation.	
Improved progress for pupils in writing.	Staff training / feedback to pupils	All children except Year 3 PP pupils (2) made expected or better than expected progress. Lack	Whole school improvement in writing (except for pupils with additional needs). Staff training has had an impact.	£5300
	observations High quality teaching for PP children. Grammar warm-up sessions to be used in all classes.	There was only 1 PP pupil in Year 6 cohort and they did not meet age related expectations at the end of KS2. This was due to additional SEN needs.		
Improved progress for pupils in SPAG.	Staff training / feedback to pupils/ action planning / learning walks/	Progess in SPAG was expected or better for all PP pupils (and pupils in the school in general).	Teaching of discrete grammar sessions and grammar warm-ups have had an impact on pupil progress. These will continue to be used.	-
mproved progress for pupils in maths.	School to implement INSPIRE Maths programme Staff training / feedback to pupils	Maths results for the whole school cohort did improve but, as above, the PP pupil did not meet age related expectations at the end of KS2. Most PP pupils (except Y3) made expected progress. Progress in Maths was good in Non-PP pupils too.	As a school, we are pleased with the progress made since the implementation of the Inspire Programme but it may take some time before the impact of this scheme effects end of KS2 data. The school will continue to use the Inspire Maths Programme to improve progress and mastery of Maths.	£1800

A. Improved maths mastery skills.	Interventions delivered with a focus on maths mastery.	Most PP pupils (except Y3) made expected progress. PP pupils made slightly more progress than their non-pp classmates. However, progress in Maths was good in Non-PP pupils too.	The school will continue to use the Inspire Maths scheme with an aim for progress and deepening for all pupils. Continue to use maths interventions for PP	£3000
B. Improved SPAG skills.	Interventions delivered with a focus on SPAG for greater depth. Accelerate/ accelewrite	Progess in SPAG was expected or better for all PP pupils (and pupils in the school in general).	Intervention used for SPAG have also had an impact. These will be implemented in the future when needed. Average progress for PP on Accelewrite intervention = 3.5 points progress. This intervention has been affective and will continue to be used as an approach for boosting SPAG and writing.	£5300
C. Improved progress for pupils in writing for Gifted and Talented pupils.	G&T PP pupils to form a writing group. They will write a school newspaper and sell this around the school. Staff training / feedback to pupils	3.7 points progress. Expected progress is 3 points per year. These pupils made better than expected progress.	Continue to run G&T writing group to write the school newspaper. Work on inspiring aspirations in these pupils in the coming year.	£684
iii. Other approacl	hes			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Social and emotional age appropriate development for pupils to be addressed.	Positive Play lead by TA (positive play leader) 1.5 hours 4 times a week. Overseen by SENCO	PP pupils identifies as having social and emotional needs received Positive Play intervention. Our aim was for these pupils to make an average of 15 points progress on the Boxhall Diagnostic Profiles. PP pupils who received Positive Play made an average of 21 points progress on the Boxhall Diagnostic Profile.	Positive play had a significant positive impact on PP pupils. We will continue to use Positive Play as a strategy for supporting social and emotional well-being in PP pupils.	£3200

PP pupils to be hydated and well nourished	School to purchase Cool Milk for all PP pupils	PP pupils received daily milk and are offered breakfast or a snack at break time when they are hungry.	We will continue to fund Cool Milk for PP pupils.	£180	

7. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to additional information which you have used to support the sections above.					